	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/565,110	MIZUTANI, TAKASHI
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	AMBER ORLANDO	1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _AMBER ORLANDO_.   (3)_OBERT CHU_.

(2) _WALTER GRIFFIN_.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: _13 April 2010_.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
        c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
    If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _1_.

Identification of prior art discussed: _Ishihara 2002/0078667_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☒ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _The applicant's representative described the applicant's invention and the differences between the invention and that of the Ishihara et al. reference. More specifically the results of the filling percentage within the honeycomb and the difference between the plugs of the applicants invention and that of the Ishihara et al. reference.._

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.